

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C., 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/843,005	9/843,005 04/26/2001		Scott-K. Middelstadt	DD-023-1-US-01	84.13		
7:	590	09/19/2002					
H.B. Fuller Company				EXAMINER			
Patent Departm 1200 Willow L P.O. Box 64683	ake Blvd.		GRAY, LINDA LAMEY				
St. Paul, MN 55164-0683				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1734	10		
				DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002	10		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Op/Bd3, 005 MIDDELSTADT ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Linda L Gray						C
Examiner	,,**		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Linda L.Gray 1734 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th cover sheet with the corr spondenc address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE zero MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Entermitors of line may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.735(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely flidd - If the period for reply selected above, in the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. - Failure to reply whithin the star or extended period for reply will, but statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seared patient term selections. The statutory reduce any seared patient the material from these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seared patient term selections. The STATUS. Status 1) Seponstive to communication(s) filled on 26 April 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are ablowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1119 and 120		_	09/843,005		MIDDELSTADT E	T AL.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the core spondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE zero MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - If the period for reply septical above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. The set or extended period for reply will be period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will be set period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will be set period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will be set period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will be set or set or extended period for reply will be set or set or extended period for reply will be set or set or dended period for reply will be set or set or set or set of the period for reply will be set or s	Office Action Su	ımmary	Examiner		Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE zero MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum elaturity period will apply advil capits SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by attention, acuse the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 135). - Any reply received by the Official set or with remailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any expensive to communication (s) filed on 26 April 2001. 2a)			Linda L.Gray		1734	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE zero MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estrains of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply aspecified above is less than thinly (20) days, a reply within the stautory minimum of think (20) days all the considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintimum statutory period will apply and quintimum of think (20) days all the considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thinky (20) days, a reply within the stautory minimum of think (20) days all the considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thinky (20) days, a reply within the stautory minimum of think (20) days all the considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thinky (20) days, a reply within the stautory minimum of think (20) days all the considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than the consideration. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search and a series of the provision of Claim (20). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search of the search o		this communication ap	pears on the cover	sheet with th co	rr spondenc ac	ldress
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	A SHORTENED STATUTOR' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available unafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extende - Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a re e, the maximum statutory perioc ed period for reply will, by statu an three months after the maili	136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory min d will apply and will expire s te, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered time ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	1)⊠ Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 26	i April 2001 .			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cacepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fi	nal.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	closed in accordance v					ne merits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	4) Claim(s)is/are p	pending in the applica	tion.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	, ,,			ation.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	5) Claim(s) is/are a	llowed.				
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	6) Claim(s) is/are re	ejected.				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subje	ct to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	9)☐ The specification is obje	cted to by the Examin	ier.			
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	10) The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)[] acc	epted or b) dbject	ed to by the Exam	iner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Applicant may not reque	st that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	11) The proposed drawing c	orrection filed on	is: a)∏ approve	ed b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examin	ier.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		,	• •	ion.		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	12) The oath or declaration i	s objected to by the E	xaminer.			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	·(d) or (f).	
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐	None of:				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	1. Certified copies of	f the priority documer	nts have been rece	ived.		
	2. Certified copies of	f the priority documer	nts have been rece	ived in Applicatio	n No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	application from	om the International B	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made	e of a claim for domes	stic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisiona	l application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			• •			
Attachment(s)	Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Pa		

Application/Control Number 09/843,005 Art Unit 1734

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12 and 21-23, drawn to a dispenser, classified in class 156, subclass 510.
 - II. Claims 13-18, drawn to a web feed assembly, classified in class 221, subclass 9.
 - III. Claims 19-20, drawn to a vacuum wheel, classified in class 492, subclass 30.
- **2.** The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the dispenser does not require a pressure roller. The subcombination has separate utility such as being employed in a dispenser which feeds the cut web to additional operations, as opposed to applying such directly to a substrate.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the dispenser does not require the claimed holes. The subcombination has separate utility such as being employed in a dispenser which feeds the cut web to additional operations, as opposed to applying such directly to a substrate.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the dispenser does not require the claimed holes. The subcombination has separate utility such as being employed in a web feed assembly that utilizes the vacuum wheel for positioning the web of material for the application of decorative effects such as paint or ink.

- **3.** Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- **4.** Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number 09/843,005 Art Unit 1734

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications should be directed Linda L. Gray at 703-308-1093, 6:30am-4:00pm, M-F. The examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino, can be reached on 703-308-3853. Any general inquiries should be directed to the receptionist at 703-308-0661. The fax numbers are 703-305-7718 (before final) and 703-872-9311 (after final).

llg 9/17/02

LINDA GRAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER